Investigational Drug Steering Committee Update

Pat LoRusso, D.O.

Outline

- Background
- Goals of IDSC
- Achievements of IDSC
- Future Directions

Background: Clinical Trials Working Group Recommendations (2005)

- Involve all stakeholders in design and prioritization of clinical trials that address the most important questions, using the tools of modern cancer biology
- Led to the formation of the Investigational Drug Steering Committee (IDSC; which makes recommendations to NCI regarding agents for early phase trials)
- Led to the formation of the Disease-specific Steering Committees (which develop later phase trials)

IDSC Goals

- Provide <u>external</u> strategic input into the prioritization of phase I and II trials for new agents with NCI CTEP
- Increase <u>transparency</u> of process
- Give input to NCI's Investigational Drug Branch (IDB) on drug development plans
- Optimize clinical trial designs to improve effectiveness of early phase therapeutics
- Increase the predictive value of early phase trials, resulting in the design of more successful phase III trials
- Develop a new forum for interaction among grant and contract holders and with CTEP

IDSC: Membership

- PI's of all NCI Phase I U01 grants and Phase II N01 contracts
- Current Co-chairs: Pat LoRusso (U01); Miguel Villalona (N01)
- Former Co-chairs: U01: Mark Ratain (served 3 years),
 Michael Grever; N01: David Gandara, Charles
 Erlichman, and Dan Sullivan
- Representatives from Cooperative Groups
- Liaisons with other Steering Committees
- Content/Subject experts: Biostatistics, Biomarkers, Imaging, Radiation Oncology, Clinical and Preclinical Pharmacology, Patient Advocates, NCI Staff
 - Recently Add Expertise: Genomics and Preclinical Drug Development Experts

IDSC: Task Forces

- Angiogenesis
- Biomarker
- Cancer Stem Cell
- Clinical Trial Design
- DNA Repair
- Immunotherapy
- PI3K/Akt/mTOR (PAM)
- Pharmacology
- Signal Transduction

IDSC Accomplishments

- Transparency and enhanced scientific input into NCI drug development process
 - Reviewed 24 Clinical Development Plans (20 have moved forward)
 - Assisted with Presolicitation efforts for U01 and N01 investigators (LOI Review Working Group)
 - Recommended Career Development LOI (CrDL) Program for New Investigators
 - Dozens of Junior Investigators have become PI's through this mechanism
- Identify niches for NCI involvement complementary to industry
- Transition from IDSC to Disease-specific Steering Committees (DSSCs) facilitated by designated liaisons
 - Membership discussion to invite two DSSC members to present to IDSC during in-person meetings
 - Target DSSC members to attend IDSC CTEP agent reviews, when specific diseases are being discussed for trials

IDSC Accomplishments

O Have published or are in the process of publishing 23 manuscripts (21 published and 2 in process) — see citation listing on slides 18-20

Highlights

- Phase 2 clinical trial design 5 CCR FOCUS papers (March 2009)
- Phase 1 clinical trial design 5 CCR FOCUS papers (March 2010)
- Management of blood pressure in patients receiving VEGF inhibitors (JNCI 2010)
- Management of the common cardiovascular toxicities associated with angiogenesis inhibitors ventricular dysfunction (AHJ 2012)
- Management of hyperglycemia/hyperlipidemia in patients treated with PI3K/Akt/mTOR agents (JCO – prepub)

Toxicity management of antiangiogenic agents:

- Cardiovascular Toxicities Panel (CTP) was developed as a subcommittee of the Angiogenesis Task Force
- Identified need and developed guidance to manage hypertension and cardiac toxicity related to antiangiogenic agents

• Two manuscripts emerged from this effort:

- Maitland, M.L., et al., Initial assessment, surveillance, and management of blood pressure in patients receiving vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway inhibitors. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2010. 102(9): p. 596-604.
- Steingart, R. M., G. L. Bakris, et al. Management of cardiac toxicity in patients receiving vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway inhibitors. <u>Am Heart J.</u> 2012. 163(2): 156-63.

Novel Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trial designs:

- A Phase 1 Workshop was held in 2008 several opinion papers were published in CCR March 2010
 - An overview of the optimal planning, design, and conduct of phase I studies of new therapeutics
 - Approaches to phase 1 clinical trial design focused on safety, efficiency, and selected patient populations
 - Guidelines for the development and incorporation of biomarker studies in early clinical trials of novel agents
- Currently working on Phase 1 agent combinations and recommendations (draft to be presented to IDSC in March 2012)
- A series Phase 2 of opinion papers were published in Clinical Cancer Research (CCR) in 2009 (see citations section)
 - Introduction on Phase 2 Trial Designs
 - Imaging Endpoints
 - Randomized Phase 2 Designs
 - Biomarkers in Phase 2
 - Predictive Analysis of Alternative Endpoints

Adoptive Immunotherapy White Paper:

- Adoptive transfer of immune effector cells against metastatic melanoma is a clinically promising and complex procedure
- Substantial activity noted in phase II trials
- Needed confirmation:
 - Multi-institution phase II trial
 - Adequately-powered, randomized, and controlled
 - Central facility for cell growth
- Pharma is currently conducting study based on Immunotherapy Task Force subcommittee white paper
- Citation: Weber, J., M. Atkins, et al. (2011). White paper on adoptive cell therapy for cancer with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes: a report of the NCI CCCT subcommittee on adoptive cell therapy. Clin Cancer Res 17(7): 1664-73.

- Hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia guidelines for PAM inhibitors:
 - The PAM Task Force convened an interdisciplinary expert panel to review:
 - the pathophysiology of hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia induced by PAM pathway inhibitors
 - summarize the incidence of these metabolic toxicities induced by such agents in the current literature
 - advise on clinical trial screening and monitoring criteria
 - provide management guidance and therapeutic goals upon occurrence of these toxicities
 - The overarching aim of this consensus report is to raise awareness of these metabolic adverse events to enable their early recognition, regular monitoring and timely intervention in clinical trials.
 - Dose modifications or discontinuation of PAM pathway inhibitors should only be considered in situations of severe events, or if progressive metabolic derangement persists despite adequate therapeutic interventions.
 - Specialty consultation should be sought to aid clinical trial planning and the management of these metabolic adverse events
 - Citation: resubmitted to JCO and is pending (as of February 2012)

- Guidelines for incorporation of biomarkers into early phase trials:
 - The IDSC charged the Biomarker Task Force to develop recommendations to improve the decisions regarding incorporation of biomarker studies in early investigational drug trials.
 - The Task Force members reviewed biomarker trials, the peerreviewed literature, NCI and FDA guidance documents, and conducted a survey of investigators to determine practices and challenges to executing biomarker studies in clinical trials of new drugs in early development.
 - This document provides standard definitions and categories of biomarkers, and lists recommendations to sponsors and investigators for biomarker incorporation into such trials.
 - Citation: Dancey, J.E., et al., Guidelines for the development and incorporation of biomarker studies in early clinical trials of novel agents. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(6): p. 1745-55.

IDSC Accomplishments

- Educational Sessions at CTEP Early Drug Development (EDD)
 Meeting:
 - Cancer stem cell educational session (Cancer Stem Cell TF)
 - CTEP agents: GDC-0449 (Hedgehog) and RO4929097 (GSI)
 - Phase II recommendations (Clinical Trial Design TF; manuscripts)
 - Lead to Phase 2 LOI benchmarking project (concordance)
 - Biomarker TF recommendations (Biomarker TF; manuscript)
 - Lead to Biomarker Assay Templates for CTEP/DCTD:
 - IHC, DNA-based ISH, and Mutation Assays
 - Autophagy (DNA Repair TF; manuscript)
 - CTEP agent: Chloroquine
 - JAK-STAT educational session (Signal Transduction)
 - CTEP agent: AZD1480 (under review currently by IDSC)
 - c-Met educational session (Signal Transduction)
 - CTEP agents: ARQ-197; Cabozantinib (XL-184)
 - ALK educational session (Signal Transduction)
 - PIM Kinase educational session (Signal Transduction)
 - PI3K educational session (Signal Transduction/PAM)

CTEP Drug Development Plans Reviewed by IDSC (2006 – 2012)

Agent Name	Target
IMC-A12	IGF-1R
IL-12	immune regulation
SCH727965	CDK
GDC-0449	sonic hedgehog
RO4929097	Notch
MK-2206	Akt
ABT-263	bcl2, BH3 mimetic
ARQ-197	cMet
OSI-906	IGF-1R
AMG386	Ang 1 / 2 Inhibitor
MLN-8237	Aurora kinase A
TRC-105	mAb to CD105
SCH-900776	Chk1
MK-1775	Wee1
Ipilimumab	antibody
PCI-32765	втк
TL32711	Smac mimetic
XL184	c-MET; VEGFR2
GSK2118436	RAF
GSK1220212	MEK

Future Directions

- Continue to assist CTEP with Phase I Redesign effort
- Increase expertise on IDSC agent-based Task Forces and Ad Hoc Groups to improve/better assist CTEP with Drug Development Plan reviews.
- Increase trials opportunities with agents already in CTEP portfolio.
- Continue to develop an effective communication effort in collaboration with disease-specific steering committees to inform them of early drug development

Websites

- CTEP active agreements:
 http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolD
 evelopment/default.htm
- IDSC website for NCI CCCT
 http://transformingtrials.cancer.gov/steering-committees/investigational-drug

IDSC Publications – Citation Listing (1)

- Adjei, A.A., M. Christian, and P. Ivy, Novel designs and end points for phase II clinical trials. Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(6): p. 1866-72.
- Amaravadi, R.K., et al., Principles and Current Strategies for Targeting Autophagy for Cancer Treatment. Clin Cancer Res, 2011. 17(4): p. 654-666.
- Dancey, J.E., et al., Guidelines for the development and incorporation of biomarker studies in early clinical trials of novel agents. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(6): p. 1745-55.
- Dhani, N., et al., Alternate endpoints for screening phase II studies. Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(6): p. 1873-82.
- Forster, M.D., et al., Performing phase I clinical trials of anticancer agents: perspectives from within the European union and Japan. Clin Cancer Res, 2010.
 16(6): p. 1737-44.
- Ivy, S.P., et al., Approaches to phase 1 clinical trial design focused on safety, efficiency, and selected patient populations: a report from the clinical trial design task force of the national cancer institute investigational drug steering committee. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(6): p. 1726-36.
- LaBarge, M.A., The difficulty of targeting cancer stem cell niches. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(12): p. 3121-9.

IDSC Publication –Citation Listing (2)

- LoRusso, P.M., S.A. Boerner, and L. Seymour, An overview of the optimal planning, design, and conduct of phase I studies of new therapeutics. Clin Cancer Res, 2010.
 16(6): p. 1710-8.
- Maitland, M.L., Cardiovascular toxicity of new agents. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol, 2008.
 6(9): p. 657-9.
- Maitland, M.L., et al., Initial assessment, surveillance, and management of blood pressure in patients receiving vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway inhibitors. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2010. 102(9): p. 596-604.
- McShane, L.M., S. Hunsberger, and A.A. Adjei, Effective incorporation of biomarkers into phase II trials. Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(6): p. 1898-905.
- Merchant, A.A. and W. Matsui, *Targeting Hedgehog--a cancer stem cell pathway*. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(12): p. 3130-40.
- O'Brien, C.A., A. Kreso, and C.H. Jamieson, Cancer stem cells and self-renewal. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(12): p. 3113-20.
- Pannuti, A., et al., Targeting Notch to target cancer stem cells. Clin Cancer Res, 2010.
 16(12): p. 3141-52.
- Rubinstein, L., et al., Randomized phase II designs. Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(6): p. 1883-90.

IDSC Publications – Citation Listing (3)

- Sargent, D.J. and J.M. Taylor, Current issues in oncology drug development, with a focus on Phase II trials. J Biopharm Stat, 2009. 19(3): p. 556-62.
- Seymour, L., Controversies in the Design of Phase II Clinical Trials. Clinical Advances in Hematology & Oncology, 2010. 8(2): p. 95-97.
- Seymour, L., et al., The design of phase II clinical trials testing cancer therapeutics: consensus recommendations from the clinical trial design task force of the national cancer institute investigational drug steering committee. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(6): p. 1764-9.
- Shankar, L.K., et al., Considerations for the use of imaging tools for phase II treatment trials in oncology. Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(6): p. 1891-7.
- Steingart, R. M., G. L. Bakris, et al. "Management of cardiac toxicity in patients receiving vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway inhibitors." <u>Am Heart J</u> 163(2): 156-63.
- Takebe, N. and S.P. Ivy, Controversies in cancer stem cells: targeting embryonic signaling pathways. Clin Cancer Res, 2010. 16(12): p. 3106-12.
- Weber, J., M. Atkins, et al. (2011). White paper on adoptive cell therapy for cancer with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes: a report of the NCI CCCT subcommittee on adoptive cell therapy. Clin Cancer Res 17(7): 1664-73

Acknowledgements

- Amy Gravell, M.S., EMMES
- LeeAnn Jensen, Ph.D., CCCT, NCI
- Steve Reeves, Ph.D., CCCT, NCI